

**IN THE UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE**

**In re Application of:** Calderone et al

**Docket No.:** AGLE0001

5 **Serial No.:** 09/785,375

**Art Unit:** 2654

**Filed:** 02/16/2001

**Examiner:** Chawan, Vijay B.

**New Title:** System and Method of Voice Recognition Near a Wireline Node of a Network Supporting Cable Television and/or Video Delivery

10

**Dated:** 26 April 2005

Mail Stop – Amendment  
Commissioner for Patents  
15 P.O. Box 1450  
Alexandria, VA 22313-1450

**RESPONSE**

Applicant responds to the Office Action mailed 18 March 2005 as follows:


Applicant submits herewith a replacement abstract in keeping with the comments provided by the examiner in the detailed action portion of the above identified Office Action.

Applicant also provides a replacement specification in which the term "voice recognition" has been replaced with the term --speech recognition--. In this regard Applicant uses the term "speech recognition" to denote identification of what is being said. Applicant points out that there are two instances in the specification where the Applicant refers to "voice print" technology. In this instance Applicant is referring to identification of who is doing the speaking. However, these two instances are not concerned with the subject matter set forth in the independent claims.

The claim objections appear to be based on the inconsistency of use of the terms voice recognition and speech recognition in the specification and accordingly, with the submission of the replacement specification, these objections are deemed overcome.

The Commissioner is authorized to charge any fees and credit any overpayments due to the Glenn Patent Group Deposit Account No. 07-1445, Customer No. 22862. Applicant considers this document to be filed in a timely manner.

Respectfully Submitted,

  
Michael A. Glenn  
Reg. No. 30,176

Customer No. 22862